# Applications of Network Flow

T. M. Murali

November 9, 11, 2021

## **Maximum Flow and Minimum Cut**

- Two rich algorithmic problems.
- Fundamental problems in combinatorial optimization.
- Beautiful mathematical duality between flows and cuts.
- Numerous non-trivial applications:
  - Bipartite matching.
  - Network connectivity.
  - Data mining.
  - Project selection.
  - Airline scheduling.
  - Baseball elimination.
  - Image segmentation.
  - Open-pit mining.

- Network reliability.
- Distributed computing.
- Egalitarian stable matching.
- Security of statistical data.
- Network intrusion detection.
- Multi-camera scene reconstruction.
- Gene function prediction.

## **Maximum Flow and Minimum Cut**

- Two rich algorithmic problems.
- Fundamental problems in combinatorial optimization.
- Beautiful mathematical duality between flows and cuts.
- Numerous non-trivial applications:
  - Bipartite matching.
  - Network connectivity.
  - Data mining.
  - Project selection.
  - Airline scheduling.
  - Baseball elimination.
  - Image segmentation.
  - Open-pit mining.

- Network reliability.
- Distributed computing.
- Egalitarian stable matching.
- Security of statistical data.
- Network intrusion detection.
- Multi-camera scene reconstruction.
- Gene function prediction.

## **Maximum Flow and Minimum Cut**

- Two rich algorithmic problems.
- Fundamental problems in combinatorial optimization.
- Beautiful mathematical duality between flows and cuts.
- Numerous non-trivial applications:
  - Bipartite matching.
  - Network connectivity.
  - Data mining.
  - Project selection.
  - Airline scheduling.
  - Baseball elimination.
  - Image segmentation.
  - Open-pit mining.

- Network reliability.
- Distributed computing.
- Egalitarian stable matching.
- Security of statistical data.
- Network intrusion detection.
- Multi-camera scene reconstruction.
- Gene function prediction.
- We will only sketch proofs. Read details from the textbook.



- Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where
  V = X ∪ Y, X and Y are disjoint and
  E ⊂ X × Y.
- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.



- Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where
  V = X ∪ Y, X and Y are disjoint and
  E ⊂ X × Y.
- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.
- A matching in a bipartite graph G is a set  $M \subseteq E$  of edges such that each node of V is incident on at most edge of M.
- A set of edges M is a *perfect matching* if every node in V is incident on exactly one edge in M.



- Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where
  V = X ∪ Y, X and Y are disjoint and
  E ⊂ X × Y.
- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.
- A matching in a bipartite graph G is a set  $M \subseteq E$  of edges such that each node of V is incident on at most edge of M.
- A set of edges M is a *perfect matching* if every node in V is incident on exactly one edge in M.



- Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where
  V = X ∪ Y, X and Y are disjoint and
  E ⊂ X × Y.
- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.
- A matching in a bipartite graph G is a set  $M \subseteq E$  of edges such that each node of V is incident on at most edge of M.
- A set of edges M is a *perfect matching* if every node in V is incident on exactly one edge in M.



- Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where
  V = X ∪ Y, X and Y are disjoint and
  E ⊂ X × Y.
- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.
- A matching in a bipartite graph G is a set  $M \subseteq E$  of edges such that each node of V is incident on at most edge of M.
- A set of edges M is a *perfect matching* if every node in V is incident on exactly one edge in M.



• Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where •  $V = X \cup Y$ , X and Y are disjoint and

$$E \subseteq X \times Y.$$

- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.
- A matching in a bipartite graph G is a set  $M \subseteq E$  of edges such that each node of V is incident on at most edge of M.
- A set of edges M is a *perfect matching* if every node in V is incident on exactly one edge in M.
  - The graph in the figure does not have a perfect matching because



- Bipartite Graph: a graph G(V, E) where
  - $V = X \cup Y, X \text{ and } Y \text{ are disjoint and }$

$$E \subseteq X \times Y.$$

- Bipartite graphs model situations in which objects are matched with or assigned to other objects: e.g., marriages, residents/hospitals, jobs/machines.
- A matching in a bipartite graph G is a set  $M \subseteq E$  of edges such that each node of V is incident on at most edge of M.
- A set of edges *M* is a *perfect matching* if every node in *V* is incident on exactly one edge in *M*.
  - The graph in the figure does not have a perfect matching because both  $y_4$  and  $y_5$  are adjacent only to  $x_5$ .

### **Bipartite Graph Matching Problem**





BIPARTITE MATCHING

**INSTANCE:** A Bipartite graph G.

**SOLUTION:** The matching of largest size in *G*.

# Normal Approach for Solving a Problem



- Develop algorithm for computing maximum matchings in bipartite graphs.
- Prove that the algorithm is correct, i.e., for every possible inputs, it compute the size of the largest matching in the bipartite graph accurately.
- Analyze running time of the algorithm.

### Alternative Approach for Solving a Problem



### Alternative Approach for Solving a Problem



### Alternative Approach for Solving a Problem



## Algorithm 1 for Bipartite Graph Matching





- Convert G to a flow network G':
  - Direct edges from Y to X.
  - Add nodes s and t.
  - **3** Add an edge from s to each node in X.
  - Add an edge from each node in Y to t.
  - Set all edge capacities to 1.
- **2** Compute the maximum flow in G'.
- Convert the maximum flow in G' into a matching in G.

## Algorithm 2 for Bipartite Graph Matching





- Convert G to a flow network G':
  - Direct edges from X to Y.
  - Add nodes s and t.
  - **3** Add an edge from s to each node in X.
  - Add an edge from each node in Y to t.
  - Set all edge capacities to 1.
- **2** Compute the maximum flow in G'.
- Convert the maximum flow in G' into a matching in G.

## Algorithm 3 for Bipartite Graph Matching





• Convert G to a flow network G':

- Direct edges from X to Y and assign each a capacity of 1.
- Add nodes s and t.
- Add an edge from s to each node x in X with a capacity equal to the degree of x.
- Add an edge from each node y in Y to t with capacity equal to the degree of y.
- **2** Compute the maximum flow in G'.
- Solution G' into a matching in G.







Value of maximum flow is 0



Value of maximum flow is 0 Value of maximum flow is 4



Value of maximum flow is 0 Value of maximum flow is 4 Value of maximum flow is 10

# **Correct Algorithm for Bipartite Graph Matching**



- Convert G to a flow network G': direct edges from X to Y, add nodes s and t, connect s to each node in X, connect each node in Y to t, set all edge capacities to 1.
- 2 Compute the maximum flow in G'.
- Convert the maximum flow in G' into a matching in G.
  - Claim: the value of the maximum flow in G' equals the size of the maximum matching in G.
  - In general, there is matching with size k in G if and only if there is a (integer-valued) flow of value k in G'.

### **Strategy for Proving Correctness**



Preclude the possibility that G has a matching with k edges but G' has a flow of small value (as with Algorithm 1).

### **Strategy for Proving Correctness**



Preclude the possibility that G' has a flow of value k but we cannot construct a matching in G with k edges (as with Algorithm 3).



• Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.



- Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.



- Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.
  - Consider every edge (u, v) in the matching:  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ .
  - Send one unit of flow along the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .



- Matching ⇒ flow: if there is a matching with *k* edges in *G*, there is an *s*-*t* flow of value *k* in *G*′.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.
  - Consider every edge (u, v) in the matching:  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ .
  - Send one unit of flow along the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .
- Why have we constructed a flow?



- Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.
  - Consider every edge (u, v) in the matching:  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ .
  - Send one unit of flow along the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .
- Why have we constructed a flow?
  - Capacity constraint:
  - Conservation constraint:



- Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.
  - Consider every edge (u, v) in the matching:  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ .
  - Send one unit of flow along the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .
- Why have we constructed a flow?
  - Capacity constraint: No edge receives a flow > 1 because we started with a matching.
  - Conservation constraint:



- Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.
  - Consider every edge (u, v) in the matching:  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ .
  - Send one unit of flow along the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .
- Why have we constructed a flow?
  - Capacity constraint: No edge receives a flow > 1 because we started with a matching.
  - Conservation constraint: Every node other than s and t has one incoming unit and one outgoing unit of flow because we started with a matching.



- Matching  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there is a matching with k edges in G, there is an s-t flow of value k in G'.
- How do we construct this flow? Thought experiment.
  - Consider every edge (u, v) in the matching:  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ .
  - Send one unit of flow along the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .
- Why have we constructed a flow?
  - Capacity constraint: No edge receives a flow > 1 because we started with a matching.
  - Conservation constraint: Every node other than s and t has one incoming unit and one outgoing unit of flow because we started with a matching.
- What is the value of the flow? k, since exactly that many nodes out of s carry flow.



Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.



Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges. What if we had assigned wrong capacities? Work out example.


- Flow  $\Rightarrow$  matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.
  - There is an integer-valued flow f' of value  $k \Rightarrow$  flow along any edge is 0 or 1.



- Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.
  - There is an integer-valued flow f' of value  $k \Rightarrow$  flow along any edge is 0 or 1.
  - Let *M* be the set of edges not incident on *s* or *t* with flow equal to 1.



- Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.
  - There is an integer-valued flow f' of value  $k \Rightarrow$  flow along any edge is 0 or 1.
  - Let *M* be the set of edges not incident on *s* or *t* with flow equal to 1.
  - Claim: M contains k edges.



- Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.
  - There is an integer-valued flow f' of value  $k \Rightarrow$  flow along any edge is 0 or 1.
  - Let *M* be the set of edges not incident on *s* or *t* with flow equal to 1.
  - Claim: M contains k edges.
  - Claim: Each node in X (respectively, Y) is the tail (respectively, head) of at most one edge in M.



- Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.
  - There is an integer-valued flow f' of value  $k \Rightarrow$  flow along any edge is 0 or 1.
  - Let *M* be the set of edges not incident on *s* or *t* with flow equal to 1.
  - Claim: M contains k edges.
  - Claim: Each node in X (respectively, Y) is the tail (respectively, head) of at most one edge in M.
- Conclusion: size of the maximum matching in G is equal to the value of the maximum flow in G'; the edges in this matching are those that carry flow from X to Y in G'.



- Flow ⇒ matching: if there is a flow f' in G' with value k, there is a matching M in G with k edges.
  - There is an integer-valued flow f' of value  $k \Rightarrow$  flow along any edge is 0 or 1.
  - Let *M* be the set of edges not incident on *s* or *t* with flow equal to 1.
  - Claim: M contains k edges.
  - Claim: Each node in X (respectively, Y) is the tail (respectively, head) of at most one edge in M.
- Conclusion: size of the maximum matching in G is equal to the value of the maximum flow in G'; the edges in this matching are those that carry flow from X to Y in G'.
- Read the book on what augmenting paths mean in this context.

# Running time of Bipartite Graph Matching Algorithm

• Suppose G has m edges and n nodes in X and in Y.

# Running time of Bipartite Graph Matching Algorithm

- Suppose G has m edges and n nodes in X and in Y.
- $C \leq n$ .
- Ford-Fulkerson algorithm runs in O(mn) time.



• How do we determine if a bipartite graph G has a perfect matching?



• How do we determine if a bipartite graph *G* has a perfect matching? Find the maximum matching and check if it is perfect.



- How do we determine if a bipartite graph *G* has a perfect matching? Find the maximum matching and check if it is perfect.
- Suppose *G* has no perfect matching. Can we exhibit a short "certificate" of that fact? What can such certificates look like?



- How do we determine if a bipartite graph *G* has a perfect matching? Find the maximum matching and check if it is perfect.
- Suppose *G* has no perfect matching. Can we exhibit a short "certificate" of that fact? What can such certificates look like?
- G has no perfect matching iff



- How do we determine if a bipartite graph *G* has a perfect matching? Find the maximum matching and check if it is perfect.
- Suppose *G* has no perfect matching. Can we exhibit a short "certificate" of that fact? What can such certificates look like?
- *G* has no perfect matching iff there is a cut in *G*' with capacity less than *n*. Therefore, the cut is a certificate.

• We would like the certificate in terms of *G*.



- We would like the certificate in terms of G.
  - ▶ For example, two nodes in *Y* with one incident edge each with the same neighbour in *X*.



- We would like the certificate in terms of G.
  - ▶ For example, two nodes in *Y* with one incident edge each with the same neighbour in *X*.
  - Generally, a subset  $A \subseteq X$  with neighbours  $\Gamma(A) \subseteq Y$ , such that  $|A| > |\Gamma(A)|$ .
- Hall's Theorem: Let  $G(X \cup Y, E)$  be a bipartite graph such that |X| = |Y|. Then G either has a perfect matching or there is a subset  $A \subseteq Y$  such that  $|A| > |\Gamma(A)|$ . We can compute a perfect matching or such a subset in O(mn) time.



- We would like the certificate in terms of G.
  - ▶ For example, two nodes in *Y* with one incident edge each with the same neighbour in *X*.
  - Generally, a subset  $A \subseteq X$  with neighbours  $\Gamma(A) \subseteq Y$ , such that  $|A| > |\Gamma(A)|$ .
- Hall's Theorem: Let  $G(X \cup Y, E)$  be a bipartite graph such that |X| = |Y|. Then G either has a perfect matching or there is a subset  $A \subseteq Y$  such that  $|A| > |\Gamma(A)|$ . We can compute a perfect matching or such a subset in O(mn) time. Read proof in the textbook.

#### **Edge-Disjoint Paths**





• A set of paths in a graph G is *edge disjoint* if each edge in G appears in at most one path.

#### **Edge-Disjoint Paths**





• A set of paths in a graph G is *edge disjoint* if each edge in G appears in at most one path.

DIRECTED EDGE-DISJOINT PATHS

**INSTANCE:** Directed graph G(V, E) with two distinguished nodes s and t.

**SOLUTION:** The maximum number of edge-disjoint paths between *s* and *t*.



• Convert G into a flow network:







- Convert G into a flow network: s is the source, t is the sink, each edge has capacity 1.
- Claim: There are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t in a directed graph G if and only if there is a s-t flow in G with value ≥ k.





- Convert G into a flow network: s is the source, t is the sink, each edge has capacity 1.
- Claim: There are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t in a directed graph G if and only if there is a s-t flow in G with value ≥ k.
- Paths  $\Rightarrow$  flow: if there are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t,





- Convert G into a flow network: s is the source, t is the sink, each edge has capacity 1.
- Claim: There are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t in a directed graph G if and only if there is a s-t flow in G with value ≥ k.
- Paths ⇒ flow: if there are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t, send one unit of flow along each to yield a flow with value k.





- Convert G into a flow network: s is the source, t is the sink, each edge has capacity 1.
- Claim: There are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t in a directed graph G if and only if there is a s-t flow in G with value ≥ k.
- Paths ⇒ flow: if there are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t, send one unit of flow along each to yield a flow with value k.
- Flow ⇒ paths: Suppose there is an integer-valued flow of value at least k. Are there k edge-disjoint paths? If so, what are they?





- Convert G into a flow network: s is the source, t is the sink, each edge has capacity 1.
- Claim: There are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t in a directed graph G if and only if there is a s-t flow in G with value ≥ k.
- Paths ⇒ flow: if there are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t, send one unit of flow along each to yield a flow with value k.
- Flow ⇒ paths: Suppose there is an integer-valued flow of value at least k. Are there k edge-disjoint paths? If so, what are they?
- Construct k edge-disjoint paths from a flow of value  $\geq k$  as follows:
  - There is an integral flow. Therefore, flow on each edge is 0 or 1.





- Convert G into a flow network: s is the source, t is the sink, each edge has capacity 1.
- Claim: There are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t in a directed graph G if and only if there is a s-t flow in G with value ≥ k.
- Paths ⇒ flow: if there are k edge-disjoint paths from s to t, send one unit of flow along each to yield a flow with value k.
- Flow ⇒ paths: Suppose there is an integer-valued flow of value at least k. Are there k edge-disjoint paths? If so, what are they?
- Construct k edge-disjoint paths from a flow of value  $\geq k$  as follows:
  - There is an integral flow. Therefore, flow on each edge is 0 or 1.
  - Claim: if f is a 0-1 valued flow of value  $\nu(f) = k$ , then the set of edges with flow f(e) = 1 contains a set of k edge-disjoint paths.



- Claim: if f is a 0-1 valued flow of value  $\nu(f) = k$ , then the set of edges with flow f(e) = 1 contains a set of k edge-disjoint paths.
- Proof strategy is different from textbook.



- Claim: if f is a 0-1 valued flow of value  $\nu(f) = k$ , then the set of edges with flow f(e) = 1 contains a set of k edge-disjoint paths.
- Proof strategy is different from textbook.
- Use problem 2 in homework 6:
  - Consider graph G' containing all the edges e with f(e) = 1.



- Claim: if f is a 0-1 valued flow of value  $\nu(f) = k$ , then the set of edges with flow f(e) = 1 contains a set of k edge-disjoint paths.
- Proof strategy is different from textbook.
- Use problem 2 in homework 6:
  - Consider graph G' containing all the edges e with f(e) = 1.
  - ▶ There is a simple *s*−*t* path in *G*.



- Claim: if f is a 0-1 valued flow of value  $\nu(f) = k$ , then the set of edges with flow f(e) = 1 contains a set of k edge-disjoint paths.
- Proof strategy is different from textbook.
- Use problem 2 in homework 6:
  - Consider graph G' containing all the edges e with f(e) = 1.
  - ▶ There is a simple *s*−*t* path in *G*.
  - ► Convert f into a new flow f' by change the flow along every edge in this path to 0.
  - ►  $\nu(f) = k 1.$



- Claim: if f is a 0-1 valued flow of value  $\nu(f) = k$ , then the set of edges with flow f(e) = 1 contains a set of k edge-disjoint paths.
- Proof strategy is different from textbook.
- Use problem 2 in homework 6:
  - Consider graph G' containing all the edges e with f(e) = 1.
  - There is a simple s-t path in G.
  - ► Convert f into a new flow f' by change the flow along every edge in this path to 0.
  - $\nu(f) = k 1$ .
  - Apply a proof by induction.

# Running Time of the Edge-Disjoint Paths Algorithm

• Given a flow of value k, how quickly can we determine the k edge-disjoint paths?

# Running Time of the Edge-Disjoint Paths Algorithm

- Given a flow of value k, how quickly can we determine the k edge-disjoint paths? O(mn) time.
- Corollary: The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm can be used to find a maximum set of edge-disjoint s-t paths in a directed graph G in O(mn) time.

# **Certificate for Edge-Disjoint Paths Algorithm**





A set F ⊆ E of edge separates s and t if the graph (V, E − F) contains no s-t paths.

## Certificate for Edge-Disjoint Paths Algorithm





- A set F ⊆ E of edge separates s and t if the graph (V, E − F) contains no s-t paths.
- Menger's Theorem: In every directed graph with nodes s and t, the maximum number of edge-disjoint s-t paths is equal to the minimum number of edges whose removal disconnects s from t.

# **Edge-Disjoint Paths in Undirected Graphs**



• Can extend the theorem to *undirected* graphs.




- Can extend the theorem to *undirected* graphs.
- Replace each edge with two directed edges of capacity 1 and apply the algorithm for directed graphs.





- Can extend the theorem to *undirected* graphs.
- Replace each edge with two directed edges of capacity 1 and apply the algorithm for directed graphs.
- Problem: Both counterparts of an undirected edge (u, v) may be used by different edge-disjoint paths in the directed graph.





- Can extend the theorem to *undirected* graphs.
- Replace each edge with two directed edges of capacity 1 and apply the algorithm for directed graphs.
- Problem: Both counterparts of an undirected edge (u, v) may be used by different edge-disjoint paths in the directed graph.
- Can obtain an integral flow where only one of the directed counterparts of (u, v) has non-zero flow.





- Can extend the theorem to *undirected* graphs.
- Replace each edge with two directed edges of capacity 1 and apply the algorithm for directed graphs.
- Problem: Both counterparts of an undirected edge (u, v) may be used by different edge-disjoint paths in the directed graph.
- Can obtain an integral flow where only one of the directed counterparts of (u, v) has non-zero flow.
- We can find the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths in O(mn) time.
- We can prove a version of Menger's theorem for undirected graphs: in every undirected graph with nodes *s* and *t*, the maximum number of edge-disjoint *s*-*t* paths is equal to the minimum number of edges whose removal separates *s* from *t*.

#### Image Segmentation





- A fundamental problem in computer vision is that of segmenting an image into coherent regions.
- A basic segmentation problem is that of partitioning an image into a foreground and a background: label each pixel in the image as belonging to the foreground or the background.
  - Note that the image on the right shows segmentation into multiple regions but we are interested in the segmentation into two regions.





- Let V be the set of pixels in an image.
- Let E be the set of pairs of neighbouring pixels.
- V and E yield an undirected graph G(V, E).





- Let V be the set of pixels in an image.
- Let E be the set of pairs of neighbouring pixels.
- V and E yield an undirected graph G(V, E).
- Each pixel *i* has a likelihood  $a_i > 0$  that it belongs to the foreground and a likelihood  $b_i > 0$  that it belongs to the background.
- These likelihoods are specified in the input to the problem.





- Let V be the set of pixels in an image.
- Let E be the set of pairs of neighbouring pixels.
- V and E yield an undirected graph G(V, E).
- Each pixel *i* has a likelihood  $a_i > 0$  that it belongs to the foreground and a likelihood  $b_i > 0$  that it belongs to the background.
- These likelihoods are specified in the input to the problem.
- We want the foreground/background boundary to be smooth:





- Let V be the set of pixels in an image.
- Let *E* be the set of pairs of neighbouring pixels.
- V and E yield an undirected graph G(V, E).
- Each pixel *i* has a likelihood  $a_i > 0$  that it belongs to the foreground and a likelihood  $b_i > 0$  that it belongs to the background.
- These likelihoods are specified in the input to the problem.
- We want the foreground/background boundary to be smooth: For each pair (i,j) of pixels, there is a separation penalty  $p_{ij} \ge 0$  for placing one of them in the foreground and the other in the background.

# The Image Segmentation Problem





IMAGE SEGMENTATION **INSTANCE:** Pixel graphs G(V, E), likelihood functions  $a, b : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , penalty function  $p : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ **SOLUTION:** Optimum labelling: partition of the pixels into two sets A

and *B* that maximises

$$q(A,B) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i + \sum_{j \in B} b_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ |A \cap \{i,j\}|=1}} p_{ij}$$

# **Developing an Algorithm for Image Segmentation**





$$q(A,B) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i + \sum_{j \in B} b_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ |A \cap \{i,j\}|=1}} p_{ij}$$

There is a similarity between labellings and 
But there are differences:

# **Developing an Algorithm for Image Segmentation**





$$q(A,B) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i + \sum_{j \in B} b_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ |A \cap \{i,j\}|=1}} p_{ij}$$

- There is a similarity between labellings and cuts.
- But there are differences: Poll

# **Developing an Algorithm for Image Segmentation**





$$q(A,B) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i + \sum_{j \in B} b_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ |A \cap \{i,j\}|=1}} p_{ij}$$

- There is a similarity between labellings and cuts.
- But there are differences:
  - We are maximising an objective function rather than minimising it.
  - There is no source or sink in the segmentation problem.
  - We have values on the nodes.
  - The graph is undirected.

### **Maximization to Minimization**

• Let  $Q = \sum_i (a_i + b_i)$ .

#### **Maximization to Minimization**

• Let  $Q = \sum_{i} (a_i + b_i)$ . • Notice that  $\sum_{i \in A} a_i + \sum_{i \in B} b_i = Q - \sum_{i \in A} b_i - \sum_{i \in B} a_i$ . Therefore, maximising  $q(A,B) = \sum a_i + \sum b_j - \sum a_i$ p<sub>ii</sub>  $\overbrace{i \in A} \quad \overbrace{j \in B} \quad \overbrace{(i,j) \in E}_{|A \cup \{i,j\}|=1}$  $= Q - \sum_{i \in A} b_i - \sum_{j \in B} a_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{j \in B} a_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{j \in B} a_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{j \in B} a_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{j \in B} a_j - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{(i,j) \in E} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i - \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \\ i \in A}} b_i$ pii is identical to minimising  $q'(A,B) = \sum_{i\in A} b_i + \sum_{j\in B} a_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in E}$ p<sub>ij</sub>

## Solving the Other Issues

• Solve the other issues like we did earlier.

## Solving the Other Issues

- Solve the other issues like we did earlier.
- Add a new "super-source" *s* to represent the foreground.
- Add a new "super-sink" *t* to represent the background.

### Solving the Other Issues

- Solve the other issues like we did earlier.
- Add a new "super-source" *s* to represent the foreground.
- Add a new "super-sink" *t* to represent the background.
- Connect s and t to every pixel and assign capacity  $a_i$  to edge (s, i) and capacity  $b_i$  to edge (i, t).
- Direct edges away from s and into t.
- Replace each edge (i, j) in E with two directed edges of capacity p<sub>ij</sub>.



- Let G' be this flow network and (A, B) an s-t cut.
- What does the capacity of the cut represent?

- Let G' be this flow network and (A, B) an s-t cut.
- What does the capacity of the cut represent?
- Edges crossing the cut are of three types: Poll



**Figure 7.19** An *s*-*t* cut on a graph constructed from four pixels. Note how the three types of terms in the expression for q'(A, B) are captured by the cut.

- Let G' be this flow network and (A, B) an s-t cut.
- What does the capacity of the cut represent?
- Edges crossing the cut are of three types:
  - $(s, w), w \in B$  contributes  $a_w$ .
  - $(u, t), u \in A$  contributes  $b_u$ .
  - $(u, w), u \in A, w \in B$  contributes  $p_{uw}$ .



Figure 7.19 An s-t cut on a graph constructed from four pixels. Note how the three types of terms in the expression for q'(A, B) are captured by the cut.

- Let G' be this flow network and (A, B) an s-t cut.
- What does the capacity of the cut represent?
- Edges crossing the cut are of three types:
  - $(s, w), w \in B$  contributes  $a_w$ .
  - $(u, t), u \in A$  contributes  $b_u$ .
  - $(u, w), u \in A, w \in B$  contributes  $p_{uw}$ .



**Figure 7.19** An *s*-*t* cut on a graph constructed from four pixels. Note how the three types of terms in the expression for q'(A, B) are captured by the cut.

$$c(A,B) = \sum_{i \in A} b_i + \sum_{j \in B} a_j + \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in E \ |A \cap \{i,j\}|=1}} p_{ij} = q'(A,B).$$

## Solving the Image Segmentation Problem

- The capacity of a s-t cut c(A, B) exactly measures the quantity q'(A, B).
- To maximise q(A, B), we simply compute the *s*-*t* cut (A, B) of minimum capacity.
- Deleting s and t from the cut yields the desired segmentation of the image.